

**Marc-Etienne Burdet**  
**Rue du Canal 14**  
**1400 Yverdon-les-Bains**  
**Switzerland**

☎ +41 78 – 632 98 92  
**marc.burdet@c9c.org**  
[www.google.swiss.com/corruption](http://www.google.swiss.com/corruption)

---

CH - Yverdon-les-Bains, le 26 septembre 2008

**Registered Mail**

United Nations Organization  
Secretary-General  
His Excellency Ban KI-MOON  
United Nations Headquarters  
United Nations Plaza  
10017 New York /USA

**Registered Mail**

H. E. Ms Navanethem PILLAY  
High Commissioner for Human Rights  
United Nations Headquarters  
United Nations Plaza  
10017 New York /USA

**Legal Action filed against the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) and against the European Commission and its Members, who are the Supreme Authority of the Court, for violation of Human Rights, Denial of Justice and involvement in Organized Crime, collaboration in fraud, embezzlement and laundering of capital amounting to hundreds of billions of dollars. In tandem with the above-mentioned statement and in accordance with the following facts, this legal action is also extended to all entities involved in this judiciary collusion amongst which are the Swiss political and judiciary authorities. I therefore bring this action against all physical and moral entities implicated.**

Dear Secretary-General,  
Dear High Commissioner,

I am a Swiss Citizen born on 22 October 1954 with a commercial qualification. Since 29 March 2000, I have abandoned my business affairs in order to dedicate myself to the defense of Victims of the Swiss judiciary apparatus which is extensively corrupt.

I have been working on a case of fraud and embezzlement concerning several hundreds of billions of dollars and I was given a mandate on 25 September 2004 which allows me to benefit from 50 % of the royalties which have been embezzled. The other 50 % of this huge capital belongs to Mr. Joseph FERRAYE who is the holder of patents for his inventions.

Various links concerning my activities can be found on the Web encyclopedia :  
[http://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marc-Etienne\\_Burdet](http://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marc-Etienne_Burdet)

Furthermore, the main details of the case concerning the above-mentioned embezzlement of hundreds of billions of dollars can be found on [www.google.swiss.com/corruption](http://www.google.swiss.com/corruption). Two videos, one of which was prepared for TF1 (French television) can also be found there.

During two court processes, Human Rights were fundamentally violated, when, with as the only motive, an attempt to impede me from having my Rights respected and being able to recuperate the large amount of embezzled capital, the Swiss authorities condemned me to 21 months of imprisonment.

During the first process, I was condemned to 19 months imprisonment. I appealed against this decision at the Cantonal Court for the canton of Vaud (Switzerland) (**document 01**) after which I finally appealed to the Swiss Federal Court at the highest national level of appeal (**document 02**). [www.burdet.info](http://www.burdet.info)

As a result of the Organized Judicial Crime and the corruption present both at the Swiss political level and the judiciary level, numerous, serious violations of Human Rights took place during my court case which were not reflected and taken into consideration by the appropriate appeal bodies. As all avenues of appeal within Switzerland had been exhausted, I was obliged to file a final appeal with the **European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) (document 03)**.

On 26 February 2008, I filed the above-mentioned appeal followed by an annex on 4 March 2008 from the moment at which I had received the motives for the rejection of my appeal from the highest Appeal Court in Switzerland and therefore, the last possible opportunity to appeal at a national level.

On 23 September 2008, I received the decision from the **ECHR**, dated 19 September 2008 which informed me that my appeal had been rejected (**document 04**) on the decision of the Court which sat on 12 September 2008 and which was composed of three “judges” (E. Steiner – President (Austria) – D. Spielman (Luxembourg) – G. Malinverni (Switzerland)). The ECHR justified its decision as follows in accordance with Art. 28 of the European Convention for Human Rights which stipulates:

The **ECHR** justified its decision as follows in accordance with Art. 28 of the European Convention for Human Rights which stipulates:

**Article 28 – Declaration of inadmissibility by Committees**

*A committee may, by a unanimous vote, declare inadmissible or strike out of its list of cases an application submitted under Article 34 where such a decision can be taken without further examination. The decision shall be final.*

The **ECHR** also invoked the fact that the conditions required to quote Articles 34 and 35 had not been met...

**Article 34 - Individual Application**

*The Court may receive applications from any person, non-governmental organization or group of individuals claiming to be the victim of a violation by one of the High Contracting Parties of the rights set forth in the Convention or the protocols thereto. The High Contracting Parties undertake not to hinder in any way the effective exercise of this right.*

**Article 35 – Admissibility Criteria**

1. *The Court may only deal with the matter after all domestic remedies have been exhausted, according to the generally recognized rules of international law, and within a period of six months from the date on which the final decision was taken.*
2. *The Court shall not deal with any application submitted under Article 34 that is:*
  - a *anonymous; or*
  - b *is substantially the same as a matter that has already been examined by the Court or has already been submitted to another procedure of international investigation or settlement and contains no relevant new information.*
3. *The Court shall declare inadmissible any individual application submitted under Article 34 which it considers incompatible with the provisions of the Convention or the protocols thereto, manifestly ill-founded, or an abuse of the right of application.*
4. *The Court shall reject any application which it considers inadmissible under this Article. It may do so at any stage of the proceedings.*

La **CEDH** stipule qu'elle n'a « *relevé aucune apparence de violation des droits et libertés garantis par la Convention ou ses Protocoles* ».

The **ECHR** stipulates that “no appearance of violations of rights and liberties under the Convention and its Protocols has been observed”. It was emphasized that “the decision is definitive and cannot be the subject of a further appeal at any level, that no further details concerning the committees deliberations can be made available, neither will responses be sent to any letters received concerning this case and in addition, that the dossier will be destroyed on a date one year after the date of the decision”.

Finally, I was again reminded that “the present communication was addressed to me according to Article 53 § 2 of the regulations of the Court following an application *“under Article 28 of the Convention, i.e. A committee may, by a unanimous vote, declare inadmissible or strike out of its list of cases an application where such a decision can be taken without further examination. The decision shall be final. The applicant has been informed in writing”*”.

The **ECHR**, supposed to defend Human Rights violates its own rules on several levels to justify a decision which proves its obvious complicity and confirming that it belongs to Organized Judicial Crime, or just simply Organized Crime., because this decision only serves to hide the embezzlement of hundreds of billions of dollars of which I am partially the beneficiary and impeding me from looking into it. Further, my physical security will evidently be compromised, should I be imprisoned at the mercy of the members of this Judicial Crime and/or its Criminals!

Each Citizen has the right to an impartial and non-arbitrary Court, to benefit from the assistance of a defender and the necessary time to prepare his defense.

My appeal to the **ECHR** of 26 February 2008 and its annex of 4 March 2008 clearly demonstrated that the lawyer that had been automatically allocated to me, recused himself from the case during the first morning of the process evoking the fact that due to the conditions of the process “The Court is going in the wrong direction” and he refused to defend me.

He left the chamber and so did I. In my absence, the Court appointed another lawyer who represented me during my absence, in the knowledge that the latter lawyer knew nothing of the charges made against me and my appeals to the Cantonal and Federal Courts have made this clear.

The “process” took place in total violation of Human Rights and finally I was condemned to 19 months imprisonment for defamation of character despite the fact that I held the proof that my public denouncements were based on reality and that the persons that I denounced as fraudsters were in fact responsible for fraud and other crimes mentioned.

That the **European Court of Human Rights (ECHR)** has no other resources to justify its preposterous decision concerning Judiciary Crime than the application of Articles 34 and 35, shows clearly that this European justice court is nothing but a façade which attempts to hide politico-judicial complicity from the Public allowing elected officials to defraud citizens and to embezzle capital which belongs to the public.

In this case, Article 34 cannot be invoked. As far as Article 35 is concerned, please see the details that follow:

1. All national avenues of appeal had been exhausted
2. a. The application was nominative
  - b. This was the first application addressed to the ECHR in the context of this case
3. Evidence of a Court process conducted under partial and arbitrary conditions, in the absence of a defense lawyer who withdrew on the first morning, the appointment of a replacement lawyer present in the chamber who had no knowledge of my case are all elements which should not allow the ECHR to invoke an abusive application or one which is manifestly ill-founded.
4. Only an arbitrary, partial complicity between the ECHR and Organized Judiciary Crime could have led to the judges arriving at this decision of rejection which casts shame on the criminal entity hiding behind the external appearance of Defender of Human Rights.

[www.googleswiss.com/corruption](http://www.googleswiss.com/corruption) denounces on the Internet, with the support of 174 pieces of formal evidence, what is the **greatest case of fraud** of the last century, taking place in 2 stages, of which the first was in 1992 thanks to the partners of my Associate Joseph FERRAYE, **in collaboration with the French Government**.

Following a legal action brought by my Associate Joseph FERRAYE on 29 February 1996 with the collaboration of his lawyers Marc BONNANT and Dominique WARLUZEL, the totality of this capital was blocked (see **pages 15ss memorandum** on [www.googleswiss.com/corruption](http://www.googleswiss.com/corruption)).

Thanks to the complicity of the above-mentioned lawyers, the notary from Geneva Pierre MOTTU and the Geneva General Prosecutor Bernard BERTOSSA (who became V.P. of the Federal Penal Court), the blocked capital which should have been returned to my Associate, was then embezzled once again, this time to the profit of the Franco-Swiss Politico-Judiciary Mafia with international ramifications as far as the USA (see **appendices 1-2-4-9-10 etc.** on [www.googleswiss.com/corruption](http://www.googleswiss.com/corruption)).

Nicholas SARKOZY, 2008 President of the European Union, is directly implicated in this embezzlement. He was Budget Minister and Government spokesman from 1993 to 1995 while his mentor and witness at his marriage, Charles PASQUA was Minister of the Interior. He then became member of the Finance commission from 1995 to 2002 at the time of the second embezzlement and the laundering of the capital, etc. (see pages 15ss **memorandum** on [www.googleswiss.com/corruption](http://www.googleswiss.com/corruption)).

It is thanks to this infrastructure that today the French President benefits from the generosity of his billionaire friends, their yachts and their private jets, without mentioning the massive fortune that he has to manage in secret!

Regarding the USA, let us remember that **Donald RUMSFELD's** name figures in the companies involved in this money laundering and I hold formal proof of that.

The merger of BPS and CREDIT SUISSE which took place just after the second embezzlement of the capital is one of the stages of laundering, as is the closeness of this big bank with the cantonal banks (e.g., BCV etc.).

The same applies to the fusion of SBS and UBS. The former **Swiss Justice Minister, Christoph BLOCHER** was a member of the Board of SBS at the time of the embezzlement and SBS was very active in the embezzlement and laundering of this capital. Transfer-orders for the embezzled capital is evidence of this.

Also in relation with SBS and a member of its Administration, **Pierre de WECK**, is that **Bill CLINTON**, at the time still President of the USA, managed to have the fraudster **Marc RICH** liberated in just a few days, when he had actually been condemned to 325 years in prison... (see **document 173** on [www.googleswiss.com/corruption](http://www.googleswiss.com/corruption)).

After her divorce from Marc RICH, his wife **Denise RICH** now living in New York, immediately became a billionaire...

Swiss and Foreign private banks owe a great part of their success to the management of this embezzled capital. The same applies to certain big banks such as BNP Paribas, HSBC, City Group and dozens of others.

The consequences of this embezzlement and continuous laundering of the capital does not stop there. The American taxpayers will very soon understand this with the imminent decision to be made by their parliament which is on the point of accepting to take on the **700 billion dollars in sub-primes** to avoid economic downfall.

My database, related to this laundering, shows that real estate investments linked to the above-mentioned involved banks are actually just part of the biggest money-laundering operation which has ever taken place in one fell swoop.

Another fact tends to agree with this proposition. **Henry M. PAULSON** and **Bens S. BERNANKE**, initiators of the agreement, was invited to the **2008 BILDERBERG Meeting** which took place on the weekend of 6 June at the **Hotel Westfields Marriott in Chantilly, Virginia**. It is certain that PAULSON and BERNANKE were informed of the operation which would be launched and of the role that he would play.

This strategy has been observed in all the big financial operations in which Public Opinion has not been kept informed concerning the truth behind the transactions. As an example, in the great "merger" (money-laundering operation) SBS – UBS, **Alex KRAUER** was invited to the BILDERBERG session. He was then

moved from the Presidency of NOVARTIS to that of SBS to do the bidding of this huge Organized Crime Mafia composed of billionaires!

It is not necessary to be a finance specialist to understand that all laundering operations require a certain sacrifice of capital in order to obtain clean money. In the current case in the USA, thanks to the US Government (it is well-known that President **George W. BUSH** is involved in all sorts of wheeler-dealing), this loss of investment of laundered capital will be paid for by the taxpayer... This goes beyond all comprehension!

I am ready today, under certain conditions, to work with the FBI in order to entrap this corrupt Mafia of Finance! I am transmitting a copy of this letter to the FBI. I have no comment concerning the CIA which is implicated and corrupt!

I dare to hope that in view of the importance of the facts denounced, you will react rapidly regarding the ECHR and the European Union as well as the Swiss and French Governments. **On this subject, I must inform you that the Swiss Government has censored my site [www.googlewiss.com](http://www.googlewiss.com) on a national level to hide their complicity in the eyes of their Citizens!**

Also in relation to the international consequences which will no doubt result from the embezzlement of this capital, I suggest you **involve the European Security Council**.

I would also like to remind you of my first letter of 10 December 2007 and my reticence towards the UN in Geneva as well as the almost certain involvement of the former Secretary-General Kofi ANNAN.

I remain at your entire disposal for any clarification or documentary proof which you might require.

I also inform you that it is my intention to diffuse this document on an international level on a large scale.

Yours sincerely,

Marc-Etienne Burdet

Annex : CD-Rom with documentary proof in pdf format

Copies : FBI  
Amnesty International  
Transparency International  
Parliaments and Governments  
International Press  
To whom it may concern  
[www.googlewiss.com](http://www.googlewiss.com)  
[www.burdet.info](http://www.burdet.info)